

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/873,468

Applicant(s)

BUCK ET AL.

Examiner

Anatoly Vortman

Art Unit

2835

All Participants:

(1) Examiner Anatoly Vortman / AU 2835.

(2) Mr. Thomas Krul, Reg. No. 46, 842.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2004

Time: around 3 p.m. ET

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

35

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner has expressed an opinion that in view of the Applicant's arguments presented in the Appeal Brief all claims of record except claim 35 are believed to be patentable over the art of record. Hence, it was suggested to cancel claim 35 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. .

A. Volev

ANATOLY VORTSEV
PRIMARY EXAMINER